JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) 1 United States Attorney 2 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division 3 EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 722) 4 Assistant United States Attorney 5 150 Almaden Boulevard San Jose, California 95113 6 Telephone: (408) 535-5079 Facsimile: (408) 535-5066 7 Email: Eumi.Choi@usdoj.gov 8 Attorneys for the United States of America 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN JOSE DIVISION 12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 07-00787 JW 13 STIPULATION AND PROPERTY Plaintiff, 14 ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM FEBRUARY 11 2008 TO FEBRUARY 25, 15 2008 FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CARLOS CAGUIMBAL, CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § 16 3161(h)(8)(A),(B)Defendant. 17 AND CONTINUANCE OF STATUS HEARING FROM FEBRUARY 11, 18 2008 TO FEBRUARY 25, 2008 19 On January 24, 2008, the parties appeared for a hearing before the Honorable Howard R. 20 Lloyd. At that time, defendant Caguimbal made his initial appearance on a judicial summons 21 issued upon the indictment of defendant. Based upon the request of the parties, the Court set the 22 matter for a status hearing on February 11, 2008, before Judge Ware. The parties are now 23 requesting that the February 11, 2008 hearing be continued until February 25, 2008 to allow 24 counsel for defendant reasonable time for effective preparation, and to allow the parties to 25

The United States hereby submits this written request for an order finding that the time between February 11, 2008 and February 25, 2008, is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18

explore the possibility of an early disposition.

26

27

28

1	U.S.C. § 3161, in that the ends of justice are served by taking such action and outweigh the best		
2	interests of the public and defendant in a speedy	interests of the public and defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). The failure to	
3	grant such a continuance would unreasonably dea	grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny counsel for defendant the reasonable time	
4	4 necessary for effective preparation, taking into ac	necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C.	
5	5 § 3161(h)(8)(B).	§ 3161(h)(8)(B).	
6	6		
7		EPH P. RUSSONIELLO	
8		ted States Attorney	
9		MI L. CHOI	
10		istant United States Attorney	
11	11	/s/	
12		NUEL U. ARAUJO orney for Defendant	
13		They for Beremaunt	
14	14		
15	15		
16	16		
17	17		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
2627			
28			
_ 0	-~ _{II}		

Case 5:07-cr-00787-JW Document 8 Filed 02/07/2008 Page 2 of 3

ORDER

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between February 11, 2008 and February 25, 2008 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The court finds that the ends of justice are served by taking such action and outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). The failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny counsel for defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 7, 2008

JAMES WARE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE